Eurasian Journal of Analytical Chemistry
ISSN: 1306-3057 OPEN ACCESS 2024 19 (1): 240-259
DOI: 10.53555/ejac.v19i1.1090

Unveiling The Radical Shield: Pharmacognostic And Physicochemical Analysis Of *Schinus Polygama* Leaves' Antioxidant Arsenal

Chandra Prakash Bhardwaj[1*], Dr. Piush Sharma[2]

[1*]PhD. Scholar, Maharishi Arvind College of Pharmacy, Rajasthan University of Health Sciences, Jaipur, Rajasthan – 302 039
[2]Professor & Principal, Maharishi Arvind College of Pharmacy, Rajasthan University of Health Sciences, Jaipur, Rajasthan – 302 039 - cpb@rediffmail.com

Abstract: *Schinus polygama*, a member of the Anacardiaceae family also known as Peruvian pepper tree, thrives in warm, temperate to subtropical climates and prefers full sun and well-drained soils. Phytochemical analysis revealed that the plant extracts are abundant in phenolic compounds and flavonoids, both of which play a significant role in enhancing its antioxidant potential. The present research includes a comprehensive pharmacognostic study, detailing the macroscopic and microscopic characteristics of the plant, which aids in its identification and authentication. Physicochemical analysis was also performed to determine moisture content, ash value, and extractive values, which are crucial for ensuring quality control and standardization. Antioxidant assays, including 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP), Nitric Oxide (NO), and Superoxide Radical Scavenging Activity (SRSA), were used to evaluate the plant's free radical scavenging potential. Microscopic and fluorescence analyses further support quality control efforts. Ongoing research explores the therapeutic potential and safety of *Schinus polygama*. Based on the result of the antioxidant activity study by four different assay methods, it can be concluded that the leaves extract. ESP shows better antioxidant activity than ASP in all four assays, with IC50 values either very close to or better than the standard (STD). ASP consistently has higher IC50 values, indicating weaker antioxidant activity. ESP is the sample that shows the best antioxidant result compared to the standard (STD) across all four assays. This comprehensive evaluation highlights the medicinal value of *Schinus polygama*, particularly in its antioxidant properties.

Key words: Quality control, assay, extract, phytochemical screening, antioxidant activity.

INTRODUCTION:

Medicinal plants have played a crucial role in shaping human culture, serving as a primary source of medicine across nearly all civilizations. They are recognized as valuable resources for traditional remedies, and many modern medicines are derived from these plants. [1] The pharmaceutical industry increasingly depends on plant-derived drugs due to their therapeutic potential, diverse chemical profiles, and traditional uses. With growing consumer interest in natural therapies, the industry integrates these compounds, combining traditional knowledge with modern technology to enhance efficacy and sustainability. In contemporary medicine, plants serve as sources of direct therapeutic agents, models for new synthetic compounds, and taxonomic markers for developing more complex semi-synthetic chemicals. *Schinus polygama*, a member of the Anacardiaceae family also known as Peruvian pepper tree, thrives in warm, temperate to subtropical climates and prefers full sun and well-drained soils. *Schinus polygama* typically reaches a height of less than 5 meters. The leaves are simple, measuring 1.5 to 3 cm in length and 0.5 to 2 cm in width, with an oblong to oblanceolate shape and margins that can be entire or wavy. The inflorescence features pedicels that are 2 to 5 mm long at fruiting, while the flowers are about 4 to 5 mm in size. The resulting fruit measures approximately 5 mm in diameter. The plant's flowers bloom from May to September. [2] [3]

Authentication and standardization are prerequisite steps, especially for herbal drugs and their formulations in traditional systems of medicine. The present study focuses on pharmacognostic standardization parameters, including organoleptic, microscopic, and macroscopic analyses, as well as the determination of ash and moisture content, extractive values, foreign matter, and fluorescence characteristics of the leaves of *Schinus polygama*, in accordance with World Health Organization guidelines. [4]

The basic objective of this work was to study pharmacognostic, physicochemical, phytochemical screening and antioxidant potential of *Schinus polygama* leaves.

Pharmacognostic screening aids in the accurate identification and authentication of medicinal plants. It involves the study of morphological and microscopic characteristics, which are essential for distinguishing between closely related species and ensuring the correct plant material is used. Physicochemical screening evaluates parameters such as moisture content, ash value, extractive value, and pH, which are crucial for the quality control of herbal medicines, ensuring they meet pharmacopeial standards. Phytochemical screening identifies

the presence of bioactive compounds such as alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, saponins, and phenolic compounds, which are often responsible for the therapeutic effects of the plant. [5,6,7,8,9]
The next objective of this study was to evaluate the antioxidant potential using four different antioxidant assay methods: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl assay (DPPH), Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) assay, the Nitric Oxide (NO) antioxidant assay, and the Superoxide Radical Scavenging Activity (SRSA) assay.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Plant Material

Leaves of *Schinus polygama* were collected from Mansa Mata Mandir campus, Kotkhawada Teh., Jaipur. The plant was identified, authenticated and certified by Dr Bharti Vijay, botanist from the Department of Botany, Apex University, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

Organoleptic evaluations

The collected sample of leaves of *Schinus Polygama* were studied organoleptically, with naked eye and magnifying lens i.e. appearance included size, shape, form, surface features, colour, odour and taste of raw leaves part. The findings were recorded.

Microscopic analysis

Microscopic analysis of the plant was carried out according to the method of Trease and Evans [9]. For microscopic studies, free-hand sections of the leaves were taken and stained with safranin. Photomicrographs were taken by attaching a camera on to the vertical tube of the microscope's head.

Physicochemical analysis

The leaves were shade dried and powdered using a mechanical grinder for powder analysis. The physicochemical characteristics of powdered leaves were determined as per the WHO guidelines [10].
Physico-chemical parameters.

1. Foreign matter

5-10 g of sample was weighted (A1). The sample was spread as thin layer on dish or tray. Then, it was examined for foreign matter in daylight with unaided eye followed by 4X lens, 10X and 100X lens in daylight. The remaining sample was passed through a sieve no. 250 to remove dust (Mineral admixture). The remaining sample material was weighted after above process (A2). The percentage of foreign matter was calculated. [11, 43]

$$\% \text{ foreign matter} = (A1-A2) / (A1) \times 100$$

Where:
A1 = Weight before investigation
A2 = Weight after investigation

2. Determination of loss on drying (LOD)

5 g of powdered sample was weighed and kept in oven at 105ºC for 5 hours (A1). Drying and weighing was continued at half an hour interval until difference between two successive weights were not more than 0.25 percentages (A2). The percentage of loss on drying was calculated.

$$\% \text{ moisture content} = (\text{Initial weight-Final weight}) / (\text{Initial weight of dried plant material}) \times 100$$

Where:
Initial weight=Weight before oven drying
Final weight=Weight after oven drying [11, 43]

3. Determination of total ash

2 g of the powdered drug was weighed in a tared silica crucible and incinerated at temperature not exceeding 450°C in muffle furnace. The crucible was cooled and weighed. The procedure was repeated until the constant weights. [11, 43]
The percentage of the total ash was calculated using the following formula:

$$\% \text{ Total ash} = (\text{Total ash}) / (\text{Initial weight of dried plant material}) \times 100$$

4. Determination of acid insoluble ash

The total ash was boiled with 25 ml of 2M hydrochloric acid for 5 minutes and the insoluble matter was collected in a Gooch crucible or on an ashless filter paper, washed with hot water, ignited for 15 minutes at a temperature not exceeding 450°C using a muffle furnace. It was then cooled in a desiccator and weighed. The percentage of acid- insoluble ash was calculated.[11, 43]

$$\% \text{ acid insoluble ash} = (\text{Acid insoluble ash}) / (\text{Initial weight of dried plant material}) \times 100$$

5. Determination of water soluble ash
The total ash was boiled for 5 minutes with 25 mL of water. The insoluble matter was collected in a Gooch's Crucible or on an ash less filter paper, washed with hot water and ignited for 15 minutes at a temperature not exceeding 450°C using a muffle furnace. It was subtracted from the weight of the ash to obtain weight of water soluble ash. The percentage of water soluble ash was calculated. [11]
% water soluble ash = (Water soluble ash) / (Initial weight of dried plant material) × 100

6. Determination of alcohol soluble extractive (By cold extraction)
5 g coarsely powdered air-dried drug was macerated with 100 mL of 95% of a ethanol in a closed flask for twenty-four hours. It was then continuously shaken for six hours using rotary shaker and then allowed to stand for eighteen hours. The content was filtered using whatman filter paper 01 (11µm). The filtrate was transferred to a pre-weighed flat bottomed dish and evaporated to dryness on a water bath. Then the dish was kept in oven at 105ºC, and dried to constant weight. The percentage of alcohol-soluble extractive was calculated.

% extractive value = (Weight of extract×4) / (Initial weight of air dried drug) × 100

7. Determination of water soluble extractive
Procedure was same as that of alcohol soluble extractive value but distilled water instead of alcohol was used.

8. Determination of ether soluble extractive (By Hot extraction)
Three portions of powder sample were extracted simultaneously on 3 Soxhlet apparatus and briefly each. 20-25 g sample was taken in extraction thimble. It was extracted with 150 ml solvent ether (Petroleum ether, Boiling point 40°C to 60°C) in a continuous extraction apparatus using Soxhlet apparatus for 6 hours, until extractor and thistle tube had colourless solvent. The extract was collected in a pre-weighed petri dish. The extract was evaporated on a water bath. It was dried on a hot air oven at 105°C upto constant weight. The percentage of ether soluble extractive was calculated.[11]
% extractive value = (Weight of extract×4) / (Initial weight of air dried drug) × 100

9. Determination of pH value
Concentration of Hydrogen ion concentration was determined by using pH meter of Eutech company (model EU-101). The pH meter was calibrated using 4.0, 7.0 and 9.2 pH chemical buffer standards. 1 g powdered sample of each plant material was dissolved in double distilled water and pH value of each sample recorded by dipping the electrode in solution.[11]

Microbial contamination

Total bacterial and fungal count
Procedure
Sample Preparation
A sample of 10 g of the each plant material was weighed. 100 ml of buffered sodium chloride-peptone solution (pH 7.0) was added.

Preparation of medium
Electronic balance was calibrated; the glasswares and utensil were de-pyrogenated in oven at 250°C for 60 minutes, ingredients of medium were weighed carefully and dissolved in distilled water, shaken well followed by heating. Medium was sterilized in an autoclave at 121°C for 15 min. The ultra-violet light of biosafety cabinet, pass box was switched on for 30 minutes before transferring prepared medium.[11]

10. Total bacterial count
Inoculation, Incubation and Observations
1 mL of test sample was inoculated in 30 mL of soybean casein digest agar media containing petri dish under the biosafety cabinet. The Inoculum was incubated in BOD incubator for 5 days at 35–37°C. Colonies were observed and counted on daily basis during incubation period. After incubation period, average of colonies were calculated. All the experiments were performed in duplicate. The colonies were calculated after completion period of both plates and average colony was calculated. [11]

11. Total fungal count
Inoculation, Incubation and Observations
1 mL of test sample was inoculated in 30 mL of sabouraud dextrose agar media containing petri dish under the biosafety cabinet. The Inoculum was incubated in BOD incubator for 7 days at 25°C. Colonies were observed and

counted on daily basis during incubation period. After incubation period, average of colonies were calculated. All the experiments were performed in duplicate. The colonies were calculated after completion period of both plates and average colony was calculated. [11]

Fluorescence Analysis
Powdered sample was treated with following chemical reagents and solvents separately. 1N sodium hydroxide in methanol, 1N sodium hydroxide in water, 50% sulphuric acid, 50% nitric acid were used. The fluorescence was observed under day light, short wavelength UV and long wavelength UV light.

Preparation of Leaves Extract
The fresh, undamaged and disease-free leaves were selected and washed thoroughly with sterile double distilled water, shade dried and then coarsely powdered in a blender. The coarse powder was successively solvent extracted in a soxhlet extractor using different solvent (nonpolar to polar) such as petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, ethanol, aqueous (Distilled water). The extracts so obtained were further dried in vacuum desiccators. The residue obtained from the extract was used for further studies by preserving it in refrigerator.

Phytochemical Screening (Qualitative estimation of phytochemicals)
Freshly prepared extracts were tested for the presence of various active phyto-compounds like phenols, tannin, flavonoid, protein, amino acids, reducing sugar, carbohydrates, lipids, saponin, alkaloid, glycosides. They identified by characteristic colour changes and precipitation reactions using standard procedures. [6, 11]

a) Tests for Carbohydrates
Molisch's test
2 ml of 10% solution of powdered plant material was taken in a test tube and 2 ml of Molisch's reagent was added and shaken carefully and then about 1ml. of conc. Sulphuric acid was poured from side of the test tube and allowed to stand for one minute. A purple colour ring at the junction of the two layers, indicates the presence of Carbohydrate.

Benedict's test
4 ml of 10% solution of powdered plant material was taken in a test tube and 1ml of Benedict's solution was added and heated almost to boiling. Formation of green, yellow, orange, red or brown colour in order of increasing concentrations of simple sugar in the test solution, due to formation of cuprous oxide, indicates the presence of reducing sugars.

Barfoed's test
4 ml of 10% solution of powdered plant material was taken in a test tube and heated with 1-2 drop of Barfoed's reagent. Formation of red cuprous oxide within two minutes, indicates the presence of monosaccharides.

Fehling solution test
It is generally used for reducing sugars and ketone functional groups. It is composed of two solutions which are mixed in situ. Fehling solution A composed of 0.5% of copper sulphate whereas Fehling solution B composed of Sodium potassium tartarate. Equal volumes of Fehling A and Fehling B solutions were mixed (1 ml each) and 2 ml of aqueous solution of drug was added followed by boiling for 5-10 minutes on water bath. Formation of reddish brown coloured precipitate due to formation of cuprous oxide, indicates presence of reducing sugar.

a) Tests for Alkaloids
Mayer's reagent test
2 ml of 10% solution of powdered plant material was taken in a test tube and 2 ml of the Mayer's reagent (Potassium mercury iodide solution) was added. A white or pale-yellow precipitate, indicates presence of Alkaloids. This test does not used presence of alkaloid with purine group.

Dragendorff's reagent test
2 ml of 10% solution of powdered plant material was taken in a test tube and 2 ml of the Dragondorff's reagent (Mixture of potassium iodide and bismuth sub nitrate solution) was added. An orange precipitate, indicates presence of Alkaloids.

Wagner's test
2 ml sample was taken in a test tube and few drops of Wagner's reagent (dilute iodine solution) was added, formation of reddish-brown precipitate, indicates presence of alkaloids.

Hager's Test
2 ml of 10% solution of powdered plant material was taken in a test tube and saturated aqueous solution of picric acid was added. An orange yellow precipitate, indicates the presence of alkaloids.

b) Test for Amino acids
Ninhydrin test
The Ninhydrin test is used to detect the presence of alpha-amino acids and proteins containing free amino groups. Aqueous test solution when heated with ninhydrin molecules, it gives characteristic deep blue or pale yellow colour due to formation of complex between two ninhydrin molecule and nitrogen of free amino acid.
c) Test for Proteins
Xanthoproteic test
1 ml of 10% aqueous solution of powdered plant material was taken in a test tube and 0.5 ml of conc. nitric acid was added to it. Development of yellow colour indicates the presence of proteins.
Millon's test
The Millon's reagent is a solution of mercuric and mercurous ions in nitric and nitrous acids. 1 ml of 10% aqueous solution of powdered plant material was taken in a test tube and 1-2 drops of Millon's reagent was added. White precipitate was produced, which turned red after heating for 5 minutes on water bath, indicates the presence of proteins with aromatic amino acids.
Biuret test
It is done for the presence of proteins with peptide bonds. 1 ml of 10% aqueous solution of powdered plant material was taken in a test tube and 2 ml of 10% NaOH solution was added followed by few drops of lead acetate solution. It was well heated on water bath for few minutes. Production of black precipitate, indicates presence of sulphur containing amino acids.

d) Tests for Glycosides
Borntragor's test
1 ml of Benzene and 0.5 ml of dilute ammonia solution was added to the 1 ml of 10% aqueous solution of powdered plant material was taken in a test tube. Formation of reddish pink colour indicates, presence of anthroquinone glycosides.
e) Test for Phenolic compound
2 ml of 10% aqueous solution of powdered plant material was taken in a test tube and warmed; to this 2 ml of ferric chloride was added and observed for the formation of green and blue colour, indicates the presence of phenolic compounds.
f) Test for Saponin
Foam test
About 1 ml of aqueous solution of powdered material was diluted with distilled water up to 10 ml and shaken in a graduated cylinder for 15 minutes. Formation of 1 cm layer of froth, indicates presence of saponin.
g) Test for Terpenoids
Salkowaski reaction
1 ml of 10% aqueous solution of powdered plant material was taken in a test tube and 2 ml of chloroform, 2 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid was added from the side of test tube. The test tube was shaken for few minutes. Development of red colour, indicates the presence of terpenoids.

h) Test for Tannins
Ferric chloride test
A 5 % solution of ferric chloride in 90 % alcohol was prepared. Few drops of the solution were added to 2 ml aqueous solution of powdered plant material. Appearance of dark green or deep blue colour, indicates the presence of tannins.
Lead acetate
A 10 % w/v solution of basic lead acetate in distilled water was added to 2 ml aqueous solution of powdered plant material. Development of precipitate, indicates the presence of tannins.
Potassium dichromate
A solution of potassium dichromate was added to 2 ml aqueous solution of powdered plant material. Appearance of dark colour, indicates the presence of tannins.

i) Test for Flavonoids
1 g of powdered material was dissolved in 5 ml ethanol (95% v/v) and treated with 1-2 drops of concentrated hydrochloric acid, 0.5g of magnesium metal. Appearance of pink, crimson or magenta colour within a minute or two, indicates the presence of flavonoids.

j) Test for Terpenes
Mix the extract with acetic anhydride and sulfuric acid. A color change indicates the presence of terpenes.

***In-vitro* Anti-oxidant Activity**
1. DPPH (2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) Radical Scavenging Activity
a) Preparation of DPPH reagent
0.1mM solution of 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) in methanol was prepared.
b) Preparation of Sample/Standard
Freshly 1 mg/ml methanol solution of extracts of *Schinus polygama*/standard was prepared. Different volume of extracts/standard (20 – 100µl) was taken from stock solution in a set of test tubes and methanol was added to make the volume to 1 ml. To this, 2 ml of 0.1mM DPPH reagent was added and mixed thoroughly and absorbance was recorded at 517 nm after 30 minutes incubation in dark at room temperature.
c) Preparation of control
For control, 3 ml of 0.1mM DPPH solution was taken and incubated for 30 min at room temperature in dark condition. Absorbance of the control was taken against methanol (as blank) at 517 nm (Athavale et al., 2012).
Percentage antioxidant activity of sample/standard was calculated by using formula:
% Inhibition = [(Ab of control- Ab of sample/ Ab of control x 100]
IC50 calculation:
The IC_{50}, or the half-maximal inhibitory concentration, can be calculated from a linear graph using the equation of the line from a concentration-response curve
typically plotted as:
X-axis: Concentration of the compound
Y-axis: Response (e.g., % inhibition in an assay)
Equation y=mx+c where:
y is the response (% inhibition),
m is the slope of the line,
x is the concentration,
c is the y-intercept.
IC50= $\frac{(50-C)}{m}$ [12 - 18]

2. Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) assay

Materials Needed:
1. FRAP Reagent:
   - 300 mM acetate buffer (pH 3.6)
   - 10 mM TPTZ (2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine) solution in 40 mM HCl
   - 20 mM FeCl3·6H2O solution
2. Standard Antioxidant:
   - Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) is used as the standard antioxidant in FRAP assays.
3. Sample Solutions:
   - ESP, ASP (dissolved in ethanol)
4. Spectrophotometer:
   - To measure absorbance at 593 nm

Procedure:
1. Preparation of FRAP Reagent:
   - Mix 300 mM acetate buffer, 10 mM TPTZ solution, and 20 mM FeCl3·6H2O solution in a ratio of 10:1:1. Prepare fresh before use.
2. Preparation of Standard Curve:
   - Prepare a series of ascorbic acid standards by diluting a stock solution of ascorbic acid.
   - Add 0.1 mL of each standard solution to 2.9 mL of FRAP reagent.
   - Incubate the mixture at 37°C for 4 minutes.
   - Measure the absorbance at 593 nm against a blank (FRAP reagent without ascorbic acid).
3. Sample Analysis:
   - Prepare your samples (ESP, ASP) similarly by diluting them if necessary.
   - Add 0.1 mL of each sample to 2.9 mL of FRAP reagent.
   - Incubate the mixture at 37°C for 4 minutes.
   - Measure the absorbance at 593 nm.

Data Analysis:

1. Standard Curve:

o Plot the absorbance values of the ascorbic acid standards against their concentrations to create a standard curve.

o Use the standard curve to determine the antioxidant capacity of your samples by interpolating their absorbance values.

2. Calculating Antioxidant Power:

o The antioxidant power of the samples is expressed as micromoles of ascorbic acid equivalents per gram of sample (μmol AAE/g).

Notes:

- Prepare fresh FRAP reagent each time to ensure its efficacy.
- The incubation time and temperature should be consistent for all samples and standards.

Formula for the FRAP (Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power) assay

$$\textbf{FRAP value } (\mu \boldsymbol{M}\textbf{Fe(II) equivalent / g sample}) = \frac{\boldsymbol{\Delta Asample}}{\boldsymbol{\Delta Astandard}} \boldsymbol{X} \frac{\boldsymbol{Cstandard}}{\boldsymbol{Msample}}$$

Here, ΔAsample = The change in absorbance at 593 nm for the sample. This is calculated as:

ΔAsample = Absorbance of the sample – Absorbance of the blank

ΔAstandard = The change in absorbance at 593 nm for the standard solution (like ascorbic acid) this is calculated as:

ΔAstandard = Absorbance of the standard – Absorbance of the blank

Cstandard = The concentration of the standard solution in μM (micromolar)

Msample = The mass of sample in gram (g)

[19 - 31]

3. Nitric Oxide (NO) antioxidant Assay

This a method used to evaluate the free radical scavenging activity of compounds against nitric oxide.

Materials

1. Sodium nitroprusside (SNP) solution: Acts as a nitric oxide donor.
2. Griess reagent: Composed of sulfanilamide, phosphoric acid, and N-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride, which reacts with nitrite to form a purple azo dye.
3. Phosphate buffer: pH 7.4.
4. Test samples: The antioxidants being tested. (ESP, ASP)
5. Standard reference: Ascorbic acid

Procedure

1. Preparation of SNP solution: Dissolve sodium nitroprusside in phosphate buffer to a final concentration, typically around 10 mM.
2. Sample preparation: Prepare different concentrations / Different volume of extracts/standard (20 – 100μl) in phosphate buffer.
3. Reaction setup:

- Mix equal volumes of SNP solution and test sample in a series of test tubes.
- Incubate the mixture at room temperature for 2-3 hours under light to generate nitric oxide.

4. Griess reagent addition:

- After incubation, add an equal volume of Griess reagent to each test tube.
- Allow the reaction to proceed for about 30 minutes at room temperature.

5. Measurement:

- Measure the absorbance of the resulting solution at 546 nm using a spectrophotometer.
- A decrease in absorbance indicates higher nitric oxide scavenging activity of the test sample.

Calculation:

- Prepare a standard curve using known concentrations of sodium nitrite (NO2-) to convert absorbance readings into concentrations of nitrite ions.
- Calculate the percentage inhibition of nitric oxide radicals using the formula:

Percent Inhibition (%) = $\frac{Acontrol - Asample}{Acontrol} X\ 100$

Where:

$A_{control}$ = absorbance of control (without the antioxidant sample)

A_{sample} = absorbance of test sample (with the antioxidant)

Interpretation:

- Higher percentage inhibition indicates greater scavenging activity against nitric oxide radicals, suggesting stronger antioxidant potential of the test sample. [32 - 36]

4. Superoxide radical scavenging activity (SRSA) assay

The superoxide radical scavenging activity assay typically involves the generation of superoxide radicals through a chemical reaction and measuring the sample's ability to inhibit this reaction. The Nitroblue Tetrazolium (NBT) reduction method is one of the common assays used for this purpose. Here's a detailed procedure for conducting this assay:

Materials Required

1. Reagents:
   - Nitroblue Tetrazolium (NBT) solution
   - Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) solution
   - Phenazine methosulfate (PMS) solution
   - Phosphate buffer (pH 7.4)
   - Sample solutions (different concentrations of the test compound/Plant Extracts)
   - Control solution (without sample)
2. Equipment:
   - Spectrophotometer
   - Microplate reader (optional)
   - Pipettes and microcentrifuge tubes
   - Incubator or water bath (37°C)

Preparation of Solutions

1. NBT Solution:
   - Dissolve NBT in phosphate buffer to make a final concentration of 0.3 mM.
2. NADH Solution:
   - Dissolve NADH in phosphate buffer to make a final concentration of 0.936 mM.
3. PMS Solution:
   - Dissolve PMS in phosphate buffer to make a final concentration of 0.12 mM.

Assay Procedure

1. Sample Preparation:
   - Prepare different concentrations of the test sample (e.g., 100 µg/ml, 80 µg/ml, 60 µg/ml, 40 µg/ml, 20 µg/ml) in phosphate buffer.
2. Preparation of Ascorbic Acid Standard Solutions

A. Stock Solution: Prepare a stock solution of ascorbic acid in phosphate buffer (e.g., 1 mg/ml).

B. Dilution: Prepare different concentrations of ascorbic acid from the stock solution (e.g., 100 µg/ml, 80 µg/ml, 60 µg/ml, 40 µg/ml, 20 µg/ml).

3. Reaction Mixture:
   - In a microcentrifuge tube or microplate well, mix the following:
     - 1 ml of NBT solution
     - 1 ml of NADH solution
     - 0.1 ml of the sample solution
     - 0.1 ml of PMS solution
   - For the control, use 0.1 ml of phosphate buffer instead of the sample solution.
4. Incubation:
   - Incubate the reaction mixture at 25°C for 5 minutes.
5. Measurement:
   - After incubation, measure the absorbance at 560 nm using a spectrophotometer.

Calculation of Percent Inhibition

% Inhibition = ((Abs_blank – Abs_sample) / Abs_blank) × 100 [37 – 42]

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Organoleptic characteristics

Leaves of *Schinus Polygama* is green with a characteristic Peppery scent odour, and characteristic taste. The organoleptic characteristics of the leaves of plant are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Organoleptic characteristics of leaves part:

S.No	Organaleptic characteristics	Nature
1	Color	Green
2	Odor	Peppery scent, when crushed the leaves.
3	Taste	Characteristic
4	Size	Length - 1.5--3 cm, Width - 0.5--2 cm.
5	Shape	Oblong to oblanceolate

Whole plant	Leaf	Flower	Stem

Figure 1: Different Parts of Plant

Microscopic analysis-



40x



40x



4x



10x



100x

Figure 2. Transverse section of *Schinus Polygama* leaves

Physio-chemical and microbial analysis (Quality control parameters)

Results of physiochemical and microbial parameters for quality control for each plant material are presented in below mentioned table.

Table 2. Determination of physico-chemical parameters of *Schinus Polygama* Leaves

S. no.	**Parameter**	**Results (%w/w)**
1.	Foreign matter	0.21
2.	Loss on Drying (LOD)	3.11
3.	Total ash	7.33
4.	Acid insoluble ash	0.35
5.	Water soluble ash	2.41
6.	Water soluble extractive value	13.12
7.	Alcohol soluble extractive value	4.91
8.	Ether soluble extractive value	1.18
9. sssss	Determination of pH	6.3
Microbial contamination (cfu/gm)		
10.	Total bacterial count	2160
11.	Total fungal count	177

Table 3. Fluorescence behavior of powdered leaves treated with different reagents

S. no.	Chemical reagent/solvents	**Observations under UV cabinet**		
		At day light	At short wave length (254nm)	At long wavelength (365 nm)
1.	Powder as such (After rub)	Greenish	No fluorescence	No fluorescence
2.	Powder + 1N NaOH in methanol	Greenish-yellow	Strong greenish	Bright yellowish-green
3.	Powder + 1N NaOH in water	Yellowish-green	Moderate green	Bright yellow
4.	Powder + 1N HCl	Yellowish-brown	Red	Orange
5.	Powder + 50% HNO_3	Brownish-red	Intense reddish-orange	Bright red
6.	Powder + 50% H_2SO_4	Dark brown	Weak brown	Dull brown

Phytochemical screening

Phytochemical evaluation of various leaves extracts of *Schinus Polygama* were done for the presence of Alkaloids, Flavonoids, carbohydrate, protein, Saponins, Tannins, Anthraquinones, Phenolic compounds, steroids, Cardiac glycosides, and the result are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Phytochemical screening of different extract of *Schinus Polygama* leaves

Name of tests	Petroleum ether extract	Ethyl acetate extract	Ethanol extract	Aqueous extract
Carbohydrate				
Molish test	-	++	-	+
Benedict test	-	+	-	+
Fehling test	-	-	-	-
Barfoed test	-	+	-	+
Alkaloids				
Dragendorff test	-	-	+	-
Wagner's test	-	-	+	-
Hager's test	-	-	+	-
Amino acids				
Ninhydrine	+	-	+	+
Protein				
Biuret test	-	+	+	+
Xanthoproteic test	-	-	+	-
Millon test	-	-	+	+
Saponin				
Foam test	-	-	+	+
Glycosides				
Borntrager's test	-	-	-	-
Killer-Killani Test	+	+++	+	-
Phenolic compound				
$FeCl_3$ test	-	-	++	+
Flavonoids				
Shinoda test	-	-	+++	+
Terpenoids				
Salkowski test	+	-	+	+
Tannins				
$FeCl_3$ test	-	+	++	++
Lead acetate test	-	-	++	++
Potassium Dichromate test	-	-	++	+
Terpenes				
Acetic anhydride test	-	-	+	+

+++ = Strongly positive, ++ = Moderate Positive, + = Positive, - = Not Detected

As Phenolic compound, Flavonoids and Tannins were present in ethanol and water (polar solvents) and these secondary metabolites were absent in petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (non - polar solvents), ethanolic and aqueous extract of *Schinus Polygama* were selected for further antioxidant activity study.

Antioxidant activity analysis:

1. **DPPH (2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) Assay**

Table 5 DPPH radical scavenging activity of Ascorbic acid

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance	% Inhibition
20	0.489	49.639
40	0.369	61.997
60	0.282	70.957
80	0.172	82.286
100	0.102	89.495
Control	0.971	
IC50 = 18.260		



Graph 1 Graph represents the Percentage Inhibition *Vs* Concentration of Ascorbic acid

Table 6 DPPH radical scavenging activity of Ethanolic extract of *SP*

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance	% Inhibition
20	0.451	53.470
40	0.411	56.754
60	0.302	68.856
80	0.090	90.710
100	0.086	91.060
Control	0.971	
IC50 = 19.394		



Graph 2 Graph represents the Percentage Inhibition *Vs* Concentration of Ethanolic extract of *SP*

Table 7 DPPH radical scavenging activity of Aqueous extract of *SP*

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance	% Inhibition
20	0.826	14.877
40	0.825	14.952
60	0.814	16.117
80	0.789	18.702
100	0.751	22.636
Control	0.971	

IC50 = 399.270



Graph 3 Graph represents the Percentage Inhibition *Vs* Concentration of Aqueous extract of *SP*

2. Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) Assay

Table 8 FRAP value of Ascorbic acid

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance (at 593 nm)	FRAP value (µmol Fe+2/g d.w.)
20	0.053	275.54
40	0.241	620.61
60	0.495	850.22
80	0.948	1220.04
100	1.500	1544.03
Control	0.059	
IC50 = 5.66		



Graph 4 Graph represents the FRAP value *Vs* Concentration of Ascorbic acid

Table 9 FRAP assay of Ethanolic extract of *SP*

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance (at 593 nm)	FRAP value (µmol Fe+2/g)
20	0.146	311.08
40	0.305	626.16
60	0.458	912.24
80	0.611	1310.32
100	0.781	1640.40
Control	0.059	
IC50 = 5.55		



Graph 5 Graph represents the FRAP value *Vs* Concentration of Ethanolic extract of *SP*

Table 10 FRAP assay activity of Aqueous extract of *SP*

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance (at 593 nm)	FRAP value (µmol Fe+2/g)
20	0.017	89.51
40	0.060	154.02
60	0.137	234.53
80	0.240	319.05
100	0.384	407.56
Control	0.059	
IC50 12.33		



Graph 2 Graph represents the FRAP Assay *Vs* Concentration of Aqueous extract of *SP*

3. Nitric Oxide (NO) antioxidant assay

Table 11 Nitric Oxide (NO) antioxidant Assay of Ascorbic acid

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance	% Inhibition
20	0.563	31
40	0.466	43
60	0.333	59
80	0.239	71
100	0.202	75

Control	0.816
IC50 = 50.00	



Graph 7 Graph represents the Percentage Inhibition *Vs* Concentration of Ascorbic acid

Table 12 Nitric Oxide (NO) antioxidant Assay of Ethanolic extract of *SP*

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance	% Inhibition
20	0.511	37
40	0.391	52
60	0.319	61
80	0.199	76
100	0.151	81
Control	0.816	
IC50 = 39.64		



Graph 8 Graph represents the Percentage Inhibition *Vs* Concentration of Ethanolic extract of *SP*

Table 13 Nitric Oxide (NO) antioxidant Assay of Aqueous extract of *SP*

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance	% Inhibition
20	0.676	17
40	0.615	25
60	0.596	27
80	0.574	30
100	0.542	34
Control	0.816	
IC50 = 180		



Graph 9 Graph represents the Percentage Inhibition *Vs* Concentration of Aqueous extract of *SP*

4. Superoxide Radical Scavenging Activity (SRSA) Assay

Table 14 Superoxide radical scavenging activity of Ascorbic acid

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance	% Inhibition
20	0.495	36.70
40	0.412	47.31
60	0.321	58.95
80	0.244	68.80
100	0.048	93.86
Control	0.782	
IC50 = 43.62		



Graph 30 Graph represents the Percentage Inhibition *Vs* Concentration of Ascorbic acid

Table 15 Superoxide radical scavenging activity of Ethanolic extract of *SP*

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance	% Inhibition
20	0.358	54.22
40	0.254	67.52
60	0.215	72.51
80	0.158	79.80
100	0.063	91.94

Control	0.782
IC50 = 7.11	



Graph 11 Graph represents the Percentage Inhibition *Vs* Concentration of Ethanolic extract of *SP*

Table 16 Superoxide radical scavenging activity of Aqueous extract of *SP*

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance	% Inhibition
20	0.665	14.96
40	0.589	24.68
60	0.567	27.49
80	0.524	32.99
100	0.468	40.15
Control	0.782	
IC50 = 134.76		



Graph 12 Graph represents the Percentage Inhibition *Vs* Concentration of Aqueous extract of *Schinus polygama*

Table 17: IC50 values comparison for ESP and ASP Extracts among 4 different Antioxidant activity assay methods:

Extract Name	IC50 (µg/ml) by DPPH Assay	IC50 (µg/ml) by FRAP Assay	IC50 (µg/ml) by NO Assay	IC50 (µg/ml) by SRSA Assay
Ascorbic Acid (Standard)	18.260	5.66	50.00	43.61
ESP	19.394	5.55	39.64	7.11
ASP	399.270	12.33	180.0	134.76
Comparison	ESP (19.394) has an IC50 value very close to the STD, indicating strong antioxidant activity. ASP (399.270) has a much higher IC50 value, indicating weaker activity.	ESP (5.55) has an IC50 value slightly better than the STD, showing very strong antioxidant activity. ASP (12.33) has a higher IC50 value, indicating weaker activity.	ESP (39.64) has a lower IC50 value compared to the STD, indicating better antioxidant activity. ASP (180) has a much higher IC50 value, showing weaker activity.	ESP (7.11) has a much lower IC50 value than the STD, showing very strong antioxidant activity. ASP (134.76) has a higher IC50 value, indicating weaker activity.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION:

A comprehensive study of *Schinus polygama* leaves—including morphology, microscopic analysis, physico-chemical properties, microbial quality control, and fluorescence behavior—offers several benefits. The morphological analysis provides insights into the plant's external structure and adaptations. Microscopic examination of the transverse section of the leaves reveals the internal anatomy, including the arrangement of vascular tissues, which aids in species identification and enhances the understanding of plant functions. Physico-chemical and microbial analyses ensure the leaves' safety and efficacy, which is crucial for medicinal applications. Additionally, fluorescence analysis of powdered leaves treated with different reagents helps authenticate the plant material and detect possible adulteration, thereby enhancing the quality control of herbal products.

Phytochemical screening of *Schinus Polygama* leaves revealed that it contains carbohydrates, amino acids, proteins, glycosides, saponin, phenolic compounds, flavonoids, steroids, and tannins, terpenes with varying presence across different extracts. Alkaloids present in ethanolic extract. Anthraquinine glycoside are absent in all extracts. As Phenolic compound, Flavonoids and Tannins were present in ethanol and water (polar solvents) and these secondary metabolites were absent in petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (non - polar solvents), ethanolic and aqueous extract of *Schinus Polygama* were selected for further antioxidant activity study. The distribution of these compounds can provide insight into the medicinal and pharmacological potential of *Schinus polygama*.

Based on the result of the antioxidant activity study by four different assay methods, it can be concluded that the leaves extract.

- ESP shows better antioxidant activity than ASP in all four assays, with IC50 values either very close to or better than the standard (STD).
- ASP consistently has higher IC50 values, indicating weaker antioxidant activity.

ESP is the sample that shows the best antioxidant result compared to the standard (STD) across all four assays.

Its potential for medicinal applications is further supported by its antioxidant activities, making it a subject of interest in ongoing research. The plant's adaptability to various environments and its therapeutic versatility contribute to its significance in both traditional and modern medicine.

ABBREVIATIONS

SP – *Schinus polygama*, ESP – Ethanolic extract of *Schinus polygama*, ASP – Aqueous extract of *Schinus polygama*, SNP – Sodium nitroprusside, STD – Standard, DPPH – 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl, FRAP – Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power, NO – Nitric Oxide, SRSA – Superoxide Radical Scavenging Activity.

REFERENCES:

1. Dar RA, Shahnawaz M, Qazi PH. Natural product medicines: A literature update. J Phytopharmacol 2017;6(6):349-351.
2. Carmello-Guerreiro & Paoli 2002 Brazil Arch Biol Technol 45:73—79

3. 'Schinus polygamus' from the website Trees and Shrubs Online (treesandshrubsonline.org/articles/schinus/schinus-polygamus/). Accessed 2024-10-03.
4. Garg et al., Ayurveds and herbal formulation – A testing protocol for Quality control. Scinfotech Enterprises, Malviya nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India, First edition 2021, Volume I, Pg No. 1 – 61.
5. Dr Khandelwal KR, Practical Pharmacognosy, Nirali Prakashan, Pune 19th edition March 2008, Reprint Oct. 2008 Pg. No. 149.
6. Kokate ck, Practical Pharmacognosy, Vallabh Prakashan, Delhi, 4th edition 1994, Reprint 2009 Pg. No. 7 – 11, 123 – 125, 107 - 111.
7. Dr Gupta MK, Dr Sharma PK, Text book of Pharmacognosy, Pragati Prakashan, Meerut, UP. Ist edition 2008, Pg No. 27 - 29.
8. Dr Gupta MK, Dr Sharma PK, Text book of Pharmacognosy, Pragati Prakashan, Meerut, UP. Ist edition 2008, Pg No. 31 – 33.
9. Trease, E.C. and Evans, W.C. (2009) Pharmacognosy. 16th Edition, W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, 563.
10. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/medicines/norms-and-standards/guidelines/quality control/quality-control-methods-for-medicinal-plant-materials.pdf?sfvrsn=b451e7c6_0
11. Laboratory guide for the analysis of Ayurveda and siddha formulations. New Delhi: Central Council for Research in Ayurveda and Siddha, Department of AYUSH, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (Government of India); 2010: 28-64.
12. Kedare, S. B., & Singh, R. P. (2011). Genesis and development of DPPH method of antioxidant assay. Journal of food science and technology, 48, 412-422.
13. Mishra, K., Ojha, H., & Chaudhury, N. K. (2012). Estimation of antiradical properties of antioxidants using DPPH assay: A critical review and results. Food chemistry, 130(4), 1036-1043.
14. Chen, Z., Bertin, R., & Froldi, G. (2013). EC50 estimation of antioxidant activity in DPPH assay using several statistical programs. Food chemistry, 138(1), 414-420.
15. Sirivibulkovit, K., Nouanthavong, S., & Sameenoi, Y. (2018). based DPPH assay for antioxidant activity analysis. Analytical sciences, 34(7), 795-800.
16. Jadid, N., Hidayati, D., Hartanti, S. R., Arraniry, B. A., Rachman, R. Y., & Wikanta, W. (2017, June). Antioxidant activities of different solvent extracts of Piper retrofractum Vahl. using DPPH assay. In AIP conference proceedings (Vol. 1854, No. 1). AIP Publishing.
17. Veeru, P., Kishor, M. P., & Meenakshi, M. (2009). Screening of medicinal plant extracts for antioxidant activity. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research, 3(8), 608-612.
18. Marinova, G., & Batchvarov, V. (2011). Evaluation of the methods for determination of the free radical scavenging activity by DPPH. Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science, 17(1), 11-24.
19. Irawan C, Putri ID, Sukiman M, Utami A, Ismail, Putri RK, et al. Antioxidant Activity of DPPH, CUPRAC, and FRAP Methods, as well as Activity of Alpha-Glucosidase Inhibiting Enzymes from Tinospora crispa (L.) Stem Ultrasonic Extract. Pharmacogn J. 2022;12(5): 511-520.
20. Gohari, A. R., Hajimehdipoor, H., Saeidnia, S., Ajani, Y., & Hadjiakhoondi, A. (2011). Antioxidant activity of some medicinal species using FRAP assay.
21. Griffin, S. P., & Bhagooli, R. (2004). Measuring antioxidant potential in corals using the FRAP assay. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 302(2), 201-211.
22. Shah, P., & Modi, H. A. (2015). Comparative study of DPPH, ABTS and FRAP assays for determination of antioxidant activity. Int. J. Res. Appl. Sci. Eng. Technol, 3(6), 636-641.
23. Thaipong, K., Boonprakob, U., Crosby, K., Cisneros-Zevallos, L., & Byrne, D. H. (2006). Comparison of ABTS, DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC assays for estimating antioxidant activity from guava fruit extracts. Journal of food composition and analysis, 19(6-7), 669-675.
24. Benzie, I. F., & Devaki, M. (2018). The ferric reducing/antioxidant power (FRAP) assay for non-enzymatic antioxidant capacity: concepts, procedures, limitations and applications. Measurement of antioxidant activity & capacity: Recent trends and applications, 77-106.
25. Müller, L., Fröhlich, K., & Böhm, V. (2011). Comparative antioxidant activities of carotenoids measured by ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP), ABTS bleaching assay (αTEAC), DPPH assay and peroxyl radical scavenging assay. Food chemistry, 129(1), 139-148
26. Biskup, I., Golonka, I., Gamian, A., & Sroka, Z. (2013). Antioxidant activity of selected phenols estimated by ABTS and FRAP methods. Advances in Hygiene & Experimental Medicine/Postepy Higieny i Medycyny Doswiadczalnej, 67.
27. Langley-Evans, S. C. (2000). Antioxidant potential of green and black tea determined using the ferric reducing power (FRAP) assay. International journal of food sciences and nutrition, 51(3), 181-188.
28. Ou, B., Huang, D., Hampsch-Woodill, M., Flanagan, J. A., & Deemer, E. K. (2002). Analysis of antioxidant activities of common vegetables employing oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) and ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assays: a comparative study. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, 50(11), 3122-3128.
29. Wong, S. P., Leong, L. P., & Koh, J. H. W. (2006). Antioxidant activities of aqueous extracts of selected plants. Food chemistry, 99(4), 775-783.

30. Sukweenadhi, J., Setiawan, F., Yunita, O., Kartini, K., & Avanti, C. (2020). Antioxidant activity screening of seven Indonesian herbal extract. Biodiversitas, 21(5), 2062-2067.
31. Mohammadzadeh, S., Sharriatpanahi, M., Hamedi, M., Amanzadeh, Y., Ebrahimi, S. E. S., & Ostad, S. N. (2007). Antioxidant power of Iranian propolis extract. Food chemistry, 103(3), 729-733.
32. Awah, F. M., & Verla, A. W. (2010). Antioxidant activity, nitric oxide scavenging activity and phenolic contents of Ocimum gratissimum leaf extract. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research, 4(24), 2479-2487.
33. Yen, G. C., Lai, H. H., & Chou, H. Y. (2001). Nitric oxide-scavenging and antioxidant effects of Uraria crinita root. Food Chemistry, 74(4), 471-478.
34. Sueishi, Y., Hori, M., Kita, M., & Kotake, Y. (2011). Nitric oxide (NO) scavenging capacity of natural antioxidants. Food chemistry, 129(3), 866-870.
35. Etim, O. E., Ekanem, S. E., & Sam, S. M. (2013). In Vitro Antioxidant Activity and Nitric Oxide Scavenging Activity of Citrullus Lanatus Seeds. In Vitro, 3(12), 126-132.
36. Ranka puja, VP karthik a comparative study of in-vitro nitric oxide scavenging activity of balofloxacinvs prulifloxacin, Asian journal of pharmaceutical and clinical research Vol 10, Issue 1, 2017,380-382.
37. Sánchez-Moreno C. Review: Methods Used to Evaluate the Free Radical Scavenging Activity in Foods and Biological Systems. Food Science and Technology International. 2002;8(3):121-137. doi:10.1106/108201302026770
38. Frederick S. Archibald, Irwin Fridovich, The scavenging of superoxide radical by manganous complexes: In vitro, Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Volume 214, Issue 2, 1982, Pages 452-463, ISSN 0003-9861, https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(82)90049-2.
39. Candan, F. (2003). Effect Of *Rhus coriaria* L. (Anacardiaceae) On Superoxide Radical Scavenging And Xanthine Oxidase Activity. Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry, 18(1), 59–62. https://doi.org/10.1080/1475636031000069273
40. Winterbourn, C.C. Biological chemistry of superoxide radicals. ChemTexts 6, 7 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40828-019-0101-8
41. Ma, L., Zheng, JJ., Zhou, N. et al. A natural biogenic nanozyme for scavenging superoxide radicals. Nat Commun 15, 233 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-44463-w
42. Kardile, Prashant. (2018). Studies on superoxide anion radical scavenging activity, antioxidant activity, and reducing power of methanolic and aqueous extract of different medicinal plants. 1263-1267.
43. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/medicines/norms-and-standards/guidelines/quality-control/quality-control-methods-for-medicinal-plant-materials.pdf?sfvrsn=b451e7c6_0