

COMPARATIVE PLANKTON SURVEY AND PHYSICO-CHEMICAL ASSESSMENT OF TWO PONDS IN KANO METROPOLIS, NIGERIA

Muhammad Kabiru Abubakar¹, Ohiare A. Ademoh²

¹Department of Science Laboratory Technology

²Hussaini Adamu Federal Polytechnic and Federal College of Education Okene, Kogi State
P.M B.5004, Kazaure, Jigawa State, Nigeria.

Received 14 June 2017 • Revised 23 August 2017 • Accepted 24 September 2017

ABSTRACT: Monthly changes in plankton periodicity levels, temperature, pH, turbidity and heavy metals were studied in two ponds in Kano metropolis for a period of 12 months. Physico-chemical parameter studied has shown that temperature, pH and turbidity ranged between 14.2-33.5°C, 6.5-8.6 and 2.3-143 NTU respectively. Dissolved oxygen, biochemical oxygen demand, nitrate and phosphate concentration were within the ranges of 3.3-7.9 mg/l, 1.1-6.4 mg/l, 1.26-12.2 and 1.11-9.60 mg/l respectively. Plankton periodicity in the ponds shown two phases separated by shift in nutrient concentration and temperature regimes. Significant difference occurred between the wet and dry seasons values of temperature, pH, turbidity, nitrate, phosphate, phytoplankton and zooplankton ($p < 0.01$). However, no significant difference was found between the seasons in terms of BOD5 at $p > 0.05$. Phytoplankton and zooplankton densities were found to correlate positively with pH, BOD5 nitrate, phosphate and the heavy metals ($p < 0.01$). Ten (10) phytoplankton species were identified in the ponds dominated by Green algae (site A 50.00% and site B 71.43%) and (7.14%) each for blue green algae, diatom and flagellate, while Eight zooplankton species were identified dominated by rotifera 3 (29.47%) followed by protozoa 2 (18.03%), copepoda 1 (18.18%), and flagellates 1 (15.58%). While 22.35% was recorded for nematoda. Double maxima occurred in terms of the total monthly density of phytoplankton recorded from site B which were 8,653 and 7,126 orgs/l in April and February respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Fresh water has become recognized as an increasingly important resource, with the quality of the water a great concern. Studies on the ecological aspects of a given water body helps to provide clear understanding on its quality as well as the physical and biological factors that interact to influence productivity of the water bodies. The quality of a given water body is governed by its physical, chemical and biological factors all of which interact with one another and greatly influence its productivity (Balarabe, 1989). It is necessary to study the influence with a view to controlling and maintaining them within the optimum range. Monitoring of biological and physico-chemical characteristics of water body is important for both short and long term (Stent, 1981). Nigeria's vast freshwater resources are among those most affected by environmental stress imposed by human population growth, urbanization and agricultural practice (Adeyemo, 2003). Environmental factors comprising physical and chemical component have been reported in several studies to have a great influence on the well-being of aquatic species, plankton inclusive (Ovie, 1997; Kawo, 2005; Okogwu and Ugwunba, 2006). In an aquatic ecosystem, plankton play important role in aquatic food chain

and their density has been reported to vary depending on the availability of nutrients and the stability of the water (Redmond, 2008). Equally, results of several studies have shown that physical and chemical condition of aquatic ecosystems determine the occurrence, diversity and density of both flora and fauna in any given habitat, which may change with season of the year (Aoyagui and Bonecker, 2004; Ayodele and Adeniji, 2006).

Inland water resources and their high productivity have a very important place in human civilization and therefore an adequate knowledge of their environments is indispensable. Freshwater represents only 2.5% of Earth's water of which only about 1.2% is accessible liquid surface water (Perlman, 2018). Lakes and rivers are important water resources. Reservoirs made by constructing dams across the rivers serve a variety of purposes viz. drinking, irrigation,

industrial processes, recreation and generation of hydroelectricity, hence Lakes plays an important role in the development programmes of country (Shrivastava, 2018). It is obvious that water is a poor thermal conductor and slight variation in temperature results in rapid change in its density. The surface tension and viscosity of water surface play a significant impact in shaping the characteristics of concerned planktonic communities and other micro-organisms (Shrivastava, 2018). Reservoirs in semiarid climates are exposed to drastic variations in water volume due to human demand during drought seasons, which accelerates eutrophication processes particularly in tropical regions (Salusso & Moraña, 2017). The major factors responsible for the quality of water composition are natural weathering of geological soil components, entrainment of suspended solids through surface runoff from agricultural areas, and anthropogenic actions (Salusso, Moraña, & Araoz, 2004). Phytoplankton mainly represented by algae form a vital part in almost all the fresh water ecosystems and plays an important role through primary productions in the food chain and is also a useful tool for the assessment of water quality. These organisms are very reactive element of the lake ecosystem because of changes in the environment. These changes concern both quantitative and qualitative phytoplankton structure (Kozak, Gołdyn & Dondajewska, 2015). Algae play a significant ecological role and are being used extensively as indicators of water pollution (Bhatnagar & Bhardwaj, 2013).

METHODOLOGY

Study Area

This study was carried out in two local government areas (Gwale and Municipal) within Kano metropolis, with a pond selected from each of the local government areas.

Site A. This is located at Dukawiya, Gwali Local Government Area of Kano opposite Bayero University, Kano Old Campus main (11°50' 14.20" N, 08° 28' 53.66" E) (Cartography Laboratory, 2011). It receives domestic wastes from household around and a waste dumping site.

Site B. This pond is known as Dan' Agundi pond beside Kofar Dan' Agundi city gate (11° 59' 53.7"N, 08° 31' 24.4"E) in Kano municipal. It serves the purpose of fishing and drainage.

Sample Collection

Samples were collected between 7 – 9 am on fortnight basis at each site for a period of 12 months (March 2011-February 2012).

Determination of Physico-chemical Parameters

Determination of physico-chemical parameters including temperature, pH, turbidity, DO, BOD₅, nitrate (NO₃-N) and phosphate (PO₄-P) concentrations were carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions (Janway and Hanna Instruments). The heavy metals determined were lead, iron, magnesium and zinc. This was done spectrometrically with thermo electron atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS), evolution 600 S-series (APHA, 2010).

Biological Analyses

Phytoplankton Sampling

Phytoplankton examination was done using a planktonnet with conical bag (Net mesh size of 0.01 mm) 25 cm long, attached to 25 ml bottle and with an opening of 20 cm diameter. At each station, the net was sunk just beneath the water surface and towed for one meter. The samples were transferred to a plastic bottle of 50 ml and preserved in lugol's iodine. The samples from each site were taken to the laboratory for identification (APHA, 2005).

Zooplankton Sampling

The zooplankton sampling was carried out using silk plankton net of 20 cm diameter and 70 meshes attached with 25 ml capacity bottle at the base. At each site, collection was done by sinking the net which was lowered through 1 metre. The samples were then poured into plastic bottle of 70 ml capacity and preserved in 4% formalin and taken to the laboratory for identification (APHA, 2005).

Laboratory Analyses

From the 25 ml collected samples, 1ml was drawn for phytoplankton and zooplankton each into a counting cell and the number of organisms was expressed per litre with the aid of a light microscope (Olympus Japan). Identification was done by using various identification guides such as Palmer (1980), Anand (1998) and APHA (2005).

Statistical Analysis

The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to examine the relationships among the different environmental variables including phytoplankton and zooplankton densities. The linear regression model was performed using SPSS version 17. The community structure was analyzed using the Shannon-Wiener Index of Diversity (H'), Evenness Index (J) and Berger-Parker Index of Dominance (DBP) and Odum's Index (Magurran, 2004).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean monthly temperature values at the sites were within the FEPA (1991) emission standard of 30°C except in March – May 2011 were temperatures of above 30°C were recorded for all the sites (Table 1). This could be due to increased solar radiation during the period (Ezra, 1999). Negative significant correlation occurred between temperature and phytoplankton values of wet and dry season ($p < 0.01$).

The mean pH recorded at all the sites were within the acceptable limit of 6.5 – 8.5 recommended for inland and drinking water quality (Antonie and Al saadi, 1982; WHO, 1996) except at site A in September (8.6) (Table 1), which could be attributed to unusual effluent discharges into the pond from the surrounding households. The relatively stable pH recorded during this study seems to corroborate with the reports of Toman (1996) and Ibrahim (2003). The results of pH obtained throughout the study were optimum for fish culture, as reported by Bennett (1974) that pH of 5.5 – 10 is recommended for tropical fish culture. Monthly variation of pH with phytoplankton and zooplankton densities was observed to be in inverse proportionate. Significant positive correlation was observed between pH, BOD₅ and iron. While a negative significant correlation occurred between pH and phytoplankton values of wet and dry season ($p < 0.01$).

Variations in phytoplankton and zooplankton densities with changes in turbidity, DO and BOD₅ during this study are presented in Table 2. The turbidity appeared to be high during the wet season (June - September) which might be largely responsible for low plankton densities as reported by Dejenet *et al.* (2004) that silt held in suspension in turbid water interferes with light penetration into the water for photosynthetic activities by phytoplankton and also interferes with filter feeding mechanisms of zooplankton which eventually affects their reproduction success (Dissmeyer, 1976). This was because of heavy rainfall experienced and due to increase in suspended solids from surface run off from house wastes into the ponds. This observation is in line with the findings of Ezra (1999). Low transparency in rainy months tends to indicate the presence of high suspended matter which offers little light penetration into the water. However, high suspended matter may serve as adaptive feature enabling the free-floating biota and fish eggs to become attached to suspended solids. It may also aid in reduction of solar heat radiation to bottom dwellers and so help in eliminating or reducing predatory organisms (Boyd, 1989). Dissolved oxygen in water is an important factor determining the occurrence and abundance of aquatic organisms, because for all the aquatic aerobes, oxygen is pre-requisite for life, thus the more the oxygen available the more the organisms are found (APHA, 2005). The result of DO at the sampling sites was not a bio-limiting factor in the ponds as their means were all above the minimum of 5.00mg/l required for the survival of aquatic organisms (FEPA, 1991) except for site A in June (3.30 mg/l) which was due to high organic load received by the pond been the on-set of wet season. The high DO values recorded in the dry season could partly be due to effect of harmattan wind that facilitates mixing of the surface water with atmospheric oxygen in the pond (Ibrahim, 2009).

Indeed, the results of this study showed that BOD₅ at the sampling sites was generally low throughout the study period with the lowest and highest value 6.36mg/l recorded from site A in August. This may be because of mixing of the water and hence, increase in dissolved oxygen, which also raises the activities of organisms in the water and consequently raise the BOD₅ values. Coute, (1990) reported that the greater the BOD₅ the greater the degree of pollution. The mean monthly BOD₅ values of site A were all above the MOEF, (1994) standard of 3 mg/l for bathing water which makes it not safe for baffling. Hence, contamination level decreases (pond A > pond B). The above findings corroborate with the work of Abdullahi *et al.* (2004) in a study on limnological parameters and phytoplankton dynamics in some borrow pit ponds in kano metropolitan area. Significant difference occurred between values of turbidity, DO, and phytoplankton ($p < 0.001$).

For the anions (nitrate and phosphate) high concentration with ranges of 1.80 – 13.70 mg/l nitrate and 1.11 – 9.60 mg/l phosphate were recorded in site B (June), site A (June) and site A (October), site B (June) respectively (Table 3). During dry season (November – May) their concentrations increases due to dumping of organic materials into the water and then drops during wet season because of dilution effect of rainwater. These fluctuations were probably because of influx through rain runoff followed by a decline as they are taken up by phytoplankton. This agrees with report of Palmer (1980) who stressed the relationship between reduced initial turnover of phosphate and changes in phytoplankton composition and biomass in the restored lake Trumaren Sweden. Significant difference occurred between values of nitrate, phosphate and plankton ($p < 0.001$). The decline in the nitrate and phosphate as they are taken up by phytoplankton indicate a positive change in plankton composition and biomass. The results of heavy metals analysis showed that of all the metals determine, iron and magnesium were only identified at the sites in both seasons (Table 4). Concentration ranges of 0.09 to 4.71mg/l and 0.15 to 6.12 mg/l were recorded for iron and Magnesium respectively. But the mean values of all the metals studied in all the sites falls within the standards of USEPA (1979); IS (1993); MOEF (1994) and WHO (1996). No significant difference ($p > 0.05$).

The phytoplankton occurrence relates directly with changes in nutrient concentration of the surface waters which is in turn affected by temperature at different times of the year. Thus, causing phytoplankton periodically as reported by Khan (1984). Double maxima occurred in terms of the total monthly density of phytoplankton recorded from site B which were 8,653 and 7,126 orgs/l in April and February respectively as shown in Table 1. The above observation corresponds with the report of Ovie (1997); Okogwu and Ugwumba (2006) and Ibrahim (2009) that plankton maxima may occur at any time of the year in the tropics depending on the conditions of the ecosystem. The periods of maximum phytoplankton growth in site A and site B coincided with periods of increased nitrate concentration in the ponds (Table 4) as reported by Kant (1978). The phytoplankton populations of the ponds were dominated by Green algae (site A 50.00% and site B 71.43%) (Table 5). This differs from situation in Kainji and Tiga lake as reported by Adebisi (1981) and river Tigris where blue – green algae make up to 95% of the phytoplankton population (Sa'ad and Antanie, 1978); the result agrees with the report of Adikwu (2004). Increase in phytoplankton population was observed during the dry season (November - April) due to abundant in nutrients because of harmattan wind which causes mixing of the water. On the other hand, a drop was noticed from May to October which might probably due to increase in predation by the zooplankton. Highly significant positive correlation occurred between nitrate and phosphate ($p < 0.01$). Eight zooplankton species were identified dominated by rotifera 3 (29.47%) followed by protozoa 2 (18.03%), copepoda 1 (18.18%), and flagellates 1 (15.58%). While 22.35% was recorded for nematoda (Table 6).

Frequency of occurrence per site (%) of plankton shows that site A has the values of 50% and 71.45% for phytoplankton and zooplankton respectively, site B has 36.36% phytoplankton and zooplankton respectively. The low frequency of occurrence in site B may be due to the unfavorable nature of the pond in terms of temperature, turbidity and DO which are the basic physico-chemical parameters that determines productivity in water (APHA, 2004)

A study in a shallow lake in the south of Brazil showed transparency and water temperature as the important environmental variables in the variation of composition (Avila *et al.*, 2004). This study revealed that factors that governed the growth of phytoplankton are temperature and turbidity.

The physico-chemical and biological data generated were analyzed statistically (SPSS, 1999) using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for significant differences or otherwise between the wet season (June- October) and the dry season (November- May). Significant difference occurred between the wet and dry season values

of temperature, pH, turbidity, nitrate, phosphate, phytoplankton and zooplankton ($p < 0.01$). However, no significant difference was found between the seasons in terms of BOD_5 at $p > 0.05$. Pearson correlation analysis was also carried out to determine the relationship between the physico-chemical parameters and plankton determined (SPSS, 1999). Phytoplankton and zooplankton densities were found to correlate positively with pH, BOD_5 , nitrate, phosphate and the heavy metals ($p < 0.01$). Negative significant correlation occurred between temperature and phytoplankton values of wet and dry season ($p < 0.01$). Significant difference occurred between values of turbidity, DO, and phytoplankton ($p < 0.01$).

Analyses of community structure were carried out for the determination of plankton diversity, abundance, richness and dominance. Shannon-wiener diversity index was used to calculate the diversity of phytoplankton and zooplankton. This formula assumes an infinite sample, but if sample size is large, the bias is likely to be small if $\frac{n_i}{N}$ is used to approximate p_i . The higher the value of H , the greater is the diversity. The maximum value of H can be more than 1. The decline in the value of H is taken as an evidence of pollution. According to APHA, (2004) a value of this index above 3 will indicate clean water, whereas values fewer than this would indicate pollution. Although, mild pollution conditions as the systems undergo transition from one season to another was observed as reported by APHA (2004). Evenness is referring to the absolute distribution of relative abundance of species at a site. The higher the pollution stresses the lesser the Evenness Index. Margalef Index of Species Richness is a simple ratio between total species (S) and total numbers of individuals (N). It was used to compare one community with another. The Odum's Index is extremely useful for comparison of various sites. The values decrease with rise in the level of pollution. Community dominance index (CDI) was used to determine the percentage of abundance, which is contributed by the two most abundant species within the community. Species abundance is determined as a bio-mass, productivity or density.

Table 1: Mean Monthly Values of Temperature, pH, Phytoplankton and Zooplankton Density obtained from some ponds in Kano metropolis (March 2011 - February 2012)

| Month | Temperature(°C) | | pH | | Phytoplankton (org/l) | | Zooplankton (org/l) | |
|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|
| | Site A | Site B | Site A | Site B | Site A | Site B | Site A | Site B |
| March | 32.6 | 32.7 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 7.63 5 | 5,344 | 9.37 | 26.42 |
| April | 33.5 | 33.4 | 6.5 | 7.8 | 7.12 6 | 8,653 | 13.0 7 | 10.60 |
| May | 32.6 | 33.7 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 3.81 7 | 3,054 | 5.30 | 174.0 |
| June | 29.6 | 29.8 | 6.8 | 8.0 | 1.52 7 | 5,853 | 4.89 | 19.55 |
| July | 29.0 | 28.0 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 7.63 | 5,090 | 17.1 1 | 25.66 |
| August | 26.0 | 26.0 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 1.01 8 | 3,308 | 16.7 0 | 43.22 |
| September | 26.3 | 26.0 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 1.52 7 | 4,326 | 9.89 | 33.81 |
| October | 27.4 | 27.1 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 4.07 2 | 5,090 | 9.37 | 23.63 |
| November | 24.0 | 23.0 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 6.68 9 | 6,362 | 15.4 8 | 37.68 |
| December | 16.0 | 14.2 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 5.68 9 | 6,871 | 16.7 0 | 49.90 |
| January | 20.0 | 19.4 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 8.65 3 | 6,871 | 19.1 5 | 28.45 |
| February | 26.0 | 24.0 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 7.63 5 | 7,126 | 6.25 | 30.16 |
| Mean | 26.9 | 26.4 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 4.45 9 | 5,595 | 11.9 4 | 28.23 |
| ±SD | 9.89 | 9.67 | 4.26 | 4.79 | 3,589 | 1,597 | 4.96 | 10.1 |

Table 2: Mean Monthly Values of Turbidity, D0, BOD5, Phytoplankton and Zooplankton Density obtained from some ponds in Kano metropolis (March 2011- February 2012)

| Month | Turbidity | | DO | | BOD ₅ | | Phytoplankton(mg/l) | | Zooplankton(mg/l) | |
|-------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | Site A | Site B | Site A | Site B | Site A | Site B | Site A | Site B | Site A | Site B |
| | 20.1 | 2.6 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 7,635 | 5,344 | 9.37 | 26.42 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| March | | | | | | | | | | | |
| April | 23.5 | 2.3 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 7,126 | 8,653 | 13.10 | 10.60 | |
| May | 30.1 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 3,817 | 3,054 | 5.30 | 174.0 | |
| June | 70.4 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 1,527 | 5,853 | 4.89 | 19.55 | |
| July | 143.0 | 70.0 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 3.1 | 763 | 5,090 | 17.10 | 25.66 | |
| August | 93.5 | 63.0 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 1,018 | 3,308 | 16.70 | 43.22 | |
| September | 45.5 | 25.4 | 5.4 | 7.4 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 1,527 | 4,326 | 9.89 | 33.81 | |
| October | 32.6 | 9.2 | 6.9 | 7.9 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 4,072 | 5,090 | 9.37 | 23.63 | |
| November | 30.0 | 18.0 | 6.9 | 7.9 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 6,689 | 6,362 | 15.50 | 37.68 | |
| December | 31.6 | 12.0 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 5,689 | 6,871 | 16.70 | 49.90 | |
| January | 28.5 | 9.4 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 8,653 | 6,871 | 19.20 | 28.45 | |
| February | 25.6 | 3.0 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 7,635 | 7,126 | 6.25 | 30.16 | |
| Mean | 47.9 | 18.4 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 4,459 | 5,595 | 11.90 | 28.23 | |

Table 3: Mean Monthly Values of Alkalinity, Phosphate, Nitrate, Phytoplankton and Zooplankton Density obtained from some ponds in Kano metropolis (March 2011 - February 2012)

| Month | Nitrate (mg/l) | | Phosphate(mg/l) | | Phytoplankton(mg/l) | | Zooplankton(mg/l) | |
|-----------|----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | Site A | Site B | Site A | Site B | Site A | Site B | Site A | Site B |
| March | 12.2 | 9.40 | 5.13 | 8.80 | 7,635 | 5,344 | 9.37 | 26.42 |
| April | 12.2 | 12.0 | 5.71 | 8.30 | 7,126 | 8,653 | 13.07 | 10.60 |
| May | 9.16 | 14.1 | 7.23 | 9.60 | 3,817 | 3,054 | 5.30 | 174.0 |
| June | 13.7 | 1.80 | 6.00 | 5.10 | 1,527 | 5,853 | 4.89 | 19.55 |
| July | 2.33 | 4.27 | 3.33 | 3.20 | 763 | 5,090 | 17.11 | 25.66 |
| August | 2.00 | 6.17 | 2.68 | 2.39 | 1,018 | 3,308 | 16.70 | 43.22 |
| September | 1.26 | 3.37 | 2.40 | 2.00 | 1,527 | 4,326 | 9.89 | 33.81 |
| October | 3.71 | 6.27 | 1.11 | 2.50 | 4,072 | 5,090 | 9.37 | 23.63 |
| November | 6.33 | 6.00 | 9.74 | 1.27 | 6,689 | 6,362 | 15.48 | 37.68 |
| December | 8.42 | 5.10 | 10.0 | 1.67 | 5,689 | 6,871 | 16.70 | 49.90 |
| January | 8.23 | 5.00 | 7.30 | 3.00 | 8,653 | 6,871 | 19.15 | 28.45 |
| February | 9.20 | 7.65 | 5.13 | 6.24 | 7,635 | 7,126 | 6.25 | 30.16 |
| Mean | 7.38 | 6.76 | 5.48 | 4.51 | 4,459 | 5,595 | 11.94 | 28.23 |
| ±SD | 4.26 | 3.55 | 2.81 | 3.01 | 3,589 | 1,597 | 4.96 | 10.17 |

Table 4: Mean Monthly Value of Iron, Lead, Magnesium, Zinc, Phytoplankton and Zooplankton Density obtained from some ponds in Kano metropolis (Mach 2011 - Feb 2012)

| Month | Iron (mg/l) | | Lead (mg/l) | | Magnesium (mg/l) | | Zinc (mg/l) | | Phytoplankton (mg/l) | | Zooplankton (mg/l) | |
|-----------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|------------------|-------|-------------|-------|----------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|
| | SiteA | SiteB | SiteA | SiteB | SiteA | SiteB | SiteA | SiteB | SiteA | SiteB | SiteA | SiteB |
| March | 4.71 | 4.13 | BTL: | 0.003 | 1.37 | 6.12 | BTL | BTL | 7,635 | 5,344 | 9.37 | 26.42 |
| April | 1.77 | 1.33 | BTL | BTL | 0.50 | 1.35 | BTL | BTL | 7,126 | 8,653 | 13.07 | 10.60 |
| May | 3.15 | 2.45 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.87 | 2.41 | BTL | BTL | 3,817 | 3,054 | 5.30 | 174.0 |
| June | 0.79 | 0.58 | 0.001 | BTL | 0.48 | 0.27 | BTL | BTL | 1,527 | 5,853 | 4.89 | 19.55 |
| July | 0.70 | 1.23 | BTL | 0.003 | 0.20 | 1.12 | BTL | BTL | 763 | 5,090 | 17.11 | 25.66 |
| August | 0.62 | 0.42 | BTL | 0.001 | 0.60 | 0.89 | BTL | BTL | 1,018 | 3,308 | 16.70 | 43.22 |
| September | 0.32 | 0.26 | BTL | 0.001 | 0.15 | 0.73 | BTL | BTL | 1,527 | 4,326 | 9.89 | 33.81 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| October | 0.10 | 0.18 | BTL | 0.002 | 0.19 | 0.33 | BTL | BTL | 4,072 | 5,090 | 9.37 | 23.63 |
| November | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.26 | 0.30 | BTL | BTL | 6,689 | 6,362 | 15.48 | 37.68 |
| December | 1.02 | 0.06 | 0.002 | BTL | 0.67 | 0.16 | 0.01 | BTL | 5,689 | 6,871 | 16.70 | 49.90 |
| January | 1.50 | 1.12 | 0.001 | BTL | 1.03 | 2.50 | 0.01 | BTL | 8,653 | 6,871 | 19.15 | 28.45 |
| February | 2.40 | 1.50 | 0.001 | BTL | 1.11 | 2.30 | BTL | BTL | 7,635 | 7,126 | 6.25 | 30.16 |
| Mean | 1.44 | 1.11 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.62 | 1.54 | 0.01 | BTL | 4,459 | 5,595 | 11.94 | 28.23 |
| ±SD | 1.39 | 1.19 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.40 | 1.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3,589 | 1,597 | 4.96 | 10.17 |

Table 5: Checklist for the Occurrence, Distribution and Abundance of Phytoplankton Density Obtained from some Ponds in Kano Metropolis (March 2011 - February 2012)

| Taxon | Site | | Total (org/l) | Frequency (%) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------------|
| | A | B | | |
| Diatom | | | | |
| 1. <i>Stephandiscus spp.</i> | 20,360 | 20,360 | 40,720 | 1.45 |
| Flagellate | | | | |
| 2. <i>Mallomonas spp.</i> | - | 52,800 | 52,800 | 1.88 |
| Green Algae | | | | |
| 3. <i>Ankistrodesmus fecutus</i> | 539,480 | 427,560 | 967,040 | 34.43 |
| 4. <i>Actinastrum gracillimum</i> | 183,240 | 91,600 | 274,840 | 9.79 |
| 5. <i>Polyedriopsis spinulosa</i> | 203,600 | 122,160 | 325,760 | 11.60 |
| 6. <i>Scendesmus dimorphus</i> | - | 142,520 | 142,520 | 5.08 |
| 7. <i>Sirogyra communis</i> | 132,320 | 122,160 | 254,480 | 9.09 |
| 8. <i>Spirulina subblissima</i> | - | 48,000 | 48,000 | 1.71 |
| 9. <i>Tetraspora gelatnosa</i> | 427,560 | 213,760 | 641,320 | 22.83 |
| 10. <i>Ulothrix zonata</i> | 30,520 | 30,520 | 61,040 | 2.17 |
| Total (org/l) | 1,537,080 | 1,271,440 | 2,808,520 | 100 |
| Frequency/site (%) | 7 (50.00) | 10(71.43) | 10(100) | |

Table 6: Checklist for the Occurrence, Distribution and Abundance of Zooplankton Density Obtained from some Ponds in Kano Metropolis (March 2011 - February 2012)

| S/NO | Taxon | Site | | Total(org /l) | Frequency (%) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|---------------|---------------|
| | | A | B | | |
| Protozoa | | | | | |
| 1 | <i>Arcellasp.</i> | - | 40.94 | 40.94 | 12.14 |
| 2 | <i>Orkomonasspp.</i> | - | - | 20.32 | 5.93 |
| Copepoda | | | | | |
| 3 | <i>Tigriopusspp.</i> | 78.6 2 | 27.09 | 111.0 | 32.91 |
| Rotifera | | | | | |
| 4 | <i>Brachoinusspp.</i> | - | 32.99 | 37.88 | 11.23 |
| 5 | <i>Kerateliasspp.</i> | - | 36.66 | 36.66 | 10.87 |
| 6 | <i>Palvarthraspp.</i> | 4.89 | 19.96 | 24.85 | 7.37 |
| Flagellates | | | | | |
| 7 | <i>Cytomonasspp</i> | - | 52.55 | - | 15.58 |
| Nematoda | | | | | |
| 8 | <i>Plectusspp.</i> | 31.7 8 | 43.59 | 75.37 | 22.35 |
| Total(org/l) | | 83.51 | 253.78 | 337.29 | |
| Frequency/site (%) | | 3(36.36) | 7(63.64) | 7(100.0) | 8(100) |

Table 7: Diversity Indices of phytoplankton sampling obtained from some ponds in Kano metropolis During March 2011 to February 2012

| Diversity Indices | Site A | Site B |
|--|--------|--------|
| Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index (HI) | 1.32 | 2.00 |
| Evenness Index (JI) | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| Berger-Parker Index of Dominance (DBP) | 0.63 | 0.52 |

| | | |
|--|------|------|
| Margalef Index of Species Richness (D) | 0.57 | 0.83 |
| Odum's Index | 0.02 | 0.02 |

Table 8: Diversity Indices of zooplankton sampling obtained from some ponds in Kano metropolis during March 2011 to February 2012

| Diversity Indices | SiteA | Site B |
|--|-------|--------|
| Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index (HI) | 0.75 | 1.90 |
| Evenness Index (JI) | 0.002 | 0.005 |
| Berger-Parker Index of Dominance (DBP) | 0.96 | 0.38 |
| Margalef Index of Species Richness (D) | 0.42 | 0.37 |
| Odum's Index | 2.60 | 2.76 |

| Parameters February | Phyt | Temp | pH | Turbidity | DO | BOD ₅ | Alkalinity | Nitrate | Phosphate | Iron | Lead | Magnesium | Zinc | Zoop |
|---------------------|------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|------------------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|--------|
| Phyt | 1 | -0.724 | 0.841 | -0.932 | 0.881 | -0.861 | -0.239 | 0.220 | 0.221 | -0.826 | 0.949 | 0.447 | -1.000** | 0.446 |
| Temp | | 1 | -0.924 | 0.924 | -0.312 | 0.974 | 0.843 | 0.514 | 0.513 | 0.987 | -0.470 | -0.941 | 1.000** | -0.996 |
| pH | | | 1 | -0.980 | 0.486 | -0.999* | -0.726 | -0.343 | -0.342 | -1.000* | 0.629 | 0.860 | -1.000** | 0.960 |
| Turbidity | | | | 1 | -0.651 | 0.987 | 0.574 | 0.147 | 0.147 | 0.974 | -0.772 | -0.74 | 1.000** | -0.884 |
| DO | | | | | 1 | -0.519 | 0.248 | 0.655 | 0.655 | -0.463 | 0.985 | -0.029 | 1.000** | 0.222 |
| BOD ₅ | | | | | | 1 | 0.700 | 0.307 | 0.306 | 0.998* | -0.658 | -0.840 | 1.000** | -0.949 |
| Alkalinity | | | | | | | 1 | 0.895 | 0.894 | 0.744 | 0.078 | -0.975 | 1.000** | -0.890 |
| Nitrate | | | | | | | | 1 | 1.000** | 0.367 | 0.515 | -0.774 | 1.000** | -0.592 |
| Phosphate | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0.367 | 0.516 | -0.774 | 1.000** | -0.592 |
| Iron | | | | | | | | | | 1 | -0.608 | -0.873 | 1.000** | -0.967 |
| Lead | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0.143 | -1.000** | 0.385 |
| Magnesium | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | -1.000** | 0.968 |
| Zinc | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | -0.280 |
| Zoop | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |

** = Correlation is significant at p<0.01 level, '-' indicate negative correlation; * = Correlation is significant at p<0.015level, DO= Dissolved Oxygen, BOD= Biochemical Oxygen Demand, phyt = phytoplankton, zoop = zooplankton

CONCLUSION

From the result of this study, it was concluded that limnological parameters play a significant role in determining water quality and productivity. Plankton richness and abundance decreases from site A to site B and to site C. This was due to the increase in frequency of occurrence of phytoplankton and zooplankton per site (50.00, 71.43 and 36.36) and (36.36, 63.64 and 63.64) for site A, B and C respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Adeyemo, O.K. (2003). Consequences of Pollution and Degradation of Nigerian Aquatic Environment on Fisheries. *The Environmentalist*.23(4): 297-306.
- [2]. Anand, N. (1998). *Handbook of Blue Green Algae of Rice fields of South India*. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh. New Delhi, India.
- [3]. Antoine, S.E. and Al- Sa'ad, H. A. (1982). Limnological Studies on the Polluted Arshar Canal at Basra (Iran). *RevuegesHydrobiologia*. 67-418.
- [4]. Aoyagui, A.S.M. and Bonecker, C.C. (2004). Rotifers in Different Environments of the Upper Parana River Flood Plain (Brazil); Richness, Abundance and the Relationship to Connectivity, *Hydrobiologia*. 281-522.
- [5]. APHA (2004). *Hand Book of Methods in Environmental Studies. Water and Wastewater Analysis. Vol.1, 16th Edn. Center of Minig Environment Indian School of Mines. ABD Publisher Jaipur (India). 248-260pp.*
- [6]. APHA. (2005). *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American water works, association and water pollution control Federation (sd), 21st Edn. American public Health Association Washington DC, USA.*
- [7]. Ayodele, H.A and Adeniyi, K.O. (2006). *Ecology of Freshwater Animals. 2nd Edition. Geophy. Nigeria. 20-29.*
- [8]. Balarabe, M.L. (1989). *Limnology and Zooplankton Distribution of Makwaye (ABU University Farm) Lake Samaru Zaria, Nigeria. M.Sc. Thesis. Department Of Biological Sciences ABU Zaria*
- [9]. Bhatnagar, M., & Bhardwaj, N. (2013). Algal Biodiversity Status in Chambal River at Kota Barrage , Rajasthan. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences*, 1(2), 131–138.
- [10]. Sandhya Jain, Dr Vikas Jain, Radha Sharma. "Pharmacovigilance system and the future challenges in India-A Perspective ." *International Journal of Pharmacy Research & Technology* 8.2 (2018), 27-31.
- [11]. Conte F.S. (1990). *Phytoplankton and Pond Culture. Animal Science and Aquaculture Publication. ASAO – C2.*
- [11]. Aradhyula, R., Rama Krishna, T.V., Madhav, B.T.P." Coaxial fed multilayered U-slot defectedground structured antenna for medical and wireless applications(2018)" *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 10 (3), pp. 371-377. DOI: 10.31838/ijpr/2018.10.03.006
- [12]. Dissmeyer, G.C. (1976). *Erosion and Sediment from Forest and Lend uses, Management Practices and Distributions in the South Eastern United State. Proceeding third fed. Inter-agency Sedimentation Conference, Committee Water Res. Council, Denver, colo, 140-148.*
- [13]. Ezra, A.G. (1999). *The Ecology of Algae in Gubi Reservoir, Bauchi, Nigeria. Ph.D Thesis, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, Nigeria. Nig.J. Exptl. Appl. Biology (2): 55-60.*
- [14]. Federal Environmental Planning and Protection Agency (FEPA,1991). *Guidelines for the National Water Quality Standareds for Human Consumption, Federal Republic of Nigeria.*
- [15]. Ibrahim, S. (2003). *Survey of Some Aspect of Biodiversity and Physic-Chemical Character of Challawa River Water in Kano, Nigeria. M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Biological Sciences, Bayero University, Kano (unpublished). 72pp.*
- [16]. Ibrahim, S. (2009). *A Survey of Zooplankton Diversity of Challawa River, Kano and Evaluation of Some of its Physic- chemical Conditions. Bayero Journal for Pure and Applied Sciences. 2(1): 19-26.*

- [17]. Kant, S. and Anand, V.K. (1978). Interrelationship of Phytoplankton and Physical on Aquatic physical factors in Mansar lake, India. *J. Ecol.*, 5: 134-140.
- [18]. Kawo, A.H. (2005). Algal Dynamics and Limnological Parameters of Salanta River, Kano Nigeria. *Biological and Environmental Sciences Journal for the Tropics, BEST*, 2(1): 101-104.
- [19]. Khan, M.A. and Bhat, G.H. (2000). Biological Invasion and 'Red water' Phenomenon in Lake Manasbal of Kashmir Valley, India. *Pollut. Res.*, 19, 113-117.
- [20]. Kozak, A., Gołdyn, R., & Dondajewska, R. (2015). Phytoplankton composition and abundance in restored Maltański reservoir under the influence of physico-chemical variables and zooplankton grazing pressure. *PLoS ONE*, 10(4), 1–23.
- [21]. Magurran, A.E. (2004). *Measuring Biological Diversity*. Blackwell Publishing U.S.A. 255pp.
- [22]. Ministry of Environment and Forest (MOEF) (1994). *Handbook of environmental procedures and guidelines*. GOL, New Delhi.Haryana, India. *Proc. Ind. Acad. Ani. Sci.*, 95: 353-364.
- [23]. Okogwu, O.I. and Ugwumba, O.A. (2006). The Zooplankton and Environmental Characteristics of Ologe Lagoon, South West Nigeria. *The Zoologist* 1 (4): 86-92.
- [24]. Ovie, S.I. (1997). *The Ecology and Culture of the Zooplankton of Jebba Lake, Nigeria*. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria (unpublished). 240pp. In: Ibrahim, S. (2009). *A Survey of Zooplankton Diversity of Challawa River, Kano and Evaluation of Some of its Physic- chemical Conditions*. Bayero Journal for Pure and Applied Sciences. 2(1): 19-26.
- [25]. Palmer, G.M. (1980). *Algae and Water Pollution*. Castle House Publications Limited, England P. 72 – 75.
- [26]. Perlman, H. (n.d.). *The World's Water*. Retrieved November 08, 2018, from <http://water.usgs.gov/edu/earthwherewater.html>.
- [27]. Redmond, W.A. (2008). Lead. "Microsoft® Encarta® 2007 {DVD}. MICROSOFT Cooperation, 2007.
- [28]. Sa'ad, A.H. and Antanie, S.E. (1978). *Limnological Studies on the River Tigri, Iraq I*. Longman Group Limited, London. 27pp.
- [29]. Salusso, M. M., & Moraña, L. B. (2017). Comparative reservoir limnology in Juramento (Salta) and Salí-Dulce (Tucumán) Basins in Argentina. *Revista de Biología Tropical*, 66(1), 415–427.
- [30].
- [31]. Salusso, MM, Moraña, LB, & Araoz, C. (2004). Sediment and nutrient production in a semi-arid subtropical basin of northwestern Argentina. *Sanitary and environmental engineering*, (77), 70-5.
- [32].
- [33]. Shrivastava, M. (2018). Physico-chemical studies of Govindgarh Lake Rewa (M . P .) with special reference to Phytoplanktons. *International Journal of Botany Studies*, 3(1), 50–54.
- [34]. SPSS, (1999). *Statistical package for social management of marine fisheries*. company Ltd. Saint Louis (software).
- [35]. Stent, R.F. (1981). A Discussion of Limnological Events during 5-Years Period 1974 – 1978. *Fresh Water Biology II*: 567-578.
- [36]. Toman, M.J. (1996). Physico-chemical Characteristics and Seasonal Changes of Plankton Communities in a River Reservoir, Lakes and Reservoir. *Research and Management* (2): 72-76.
- [37]. USEPA (1979). *Sewage and Algae Research Center Cinanati, Ohio Development of Dissolved Oxygen Criteria for Fresh Water Fish*. United State Environmental Protection Agency, USEPA (1973); Ecological Research Series EPA-R3-730-19.
- [38]. World Health Organization (WHO) (1996). *Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality*. 2nd Edition, WHO Geneva. Switzerland.